



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-16388-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.05.2025

Dharminder Singh and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

Bank of Baroda and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition is to the notification/advertisement dated 02.05.2025 issued by the respondent-Bank whereby the Bank has called for fresh appointment without considering the service of present petitioners.
2. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that with regard to the grievance, the petitioner(s) have already submitted a representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) but the respondents have not taken any action thereupon till date. He contends that the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage, in case respondent-Bank is directed to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.
3. Keeping in view the order which this Court intends to pass, issuance of notice is not deemed necessary.



4. Accordingly, the present petition is **disposed of** without commenting anything on the merits of the case, by directing the respondent-Bank to consider and decide the representation dated 24.01.2025 of the petitioners by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of **03** months of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.05.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No