



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-A-1585-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.11.2025

Santro Devi

....applicant-appellant

Versus

Rajnish @ Sonu and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate for Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant

Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate for the respondents

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'Cr.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 30.05.2019 passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Abohar in a complaint filed under Sections 452, 323, 324, 504, 506 and 34 IPC.

2. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc.**, 2025 SCC OnLine 1320, held that an appeal is maintainable under the proviso of Section 372 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 413 of BNSS, 2023) against an order of acquittal passed in a complaint under Section 138 NI Act, by treating the complainant therein, as a 'victim' within the meaning under Section 2 (wa) of Cr.P.C, in view of which, the present appeal is disposed of by directing the concerned Sessions Judge, for treating it to have been filed under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. and assigning the same to the Court concerned for deciding it.

3. Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and trial Court record, if received, along with the said order forthwith, while also informing the parties.

13.11.2025

M.Kamra

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes / No

Whether reportable

: Yes / No