



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15332 of 2023
Date of decision: 28.10.2025**

**Gagandeep Singh and another
Petitioners**

....

Vs.

**Appellate Tribunal-cum-Additional District Magistrate, Patiala and
others**

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sherry K.Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

None for respondent No.3 to 5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J (Oral)

1. On 11.09.2025, this Court has passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

“Mr. Chetan Goyal, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 and has filed his memorandum of appearance, which is taken on record. He undertakes to file a duly executed power of attorney, in his favour, with the Registry of this Court, within one week from today.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that after the passing of the order dated 20.07.2023, the petitioner failed to pay the regular maintenance.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the nonappearance of the 4th respondent has hindered his ability to pay the maintenance. However, this excuse is not acceptable, as the maintenance ordered to be paid was not conditional upon the 4th respondent's appearance before the Court. Nonetheless, in the interest of justice, the petitioner is



directed to clear the arrears. On the adjourned date, the petitioner shall present a demand draft in favor of respondent No. 4, positively.

Adjourned to 28.10.2025.

In the meantime, respondent No. 4 is at liberty to withdraw the amount of Rs. 20,000/-, deposited by the petitioner with the Registrar of this Court, upon submitting an appropriate application.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that neither the maintenance amount was deposited by the petitioners, nor any instructions were conveyed to his office, despite due intimation was given to them. He further pleads no instructions to address arguments in the instant case.
3. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the instant petition for want of prosecution.
4. **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

28.10.2025
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No