


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH



CWP No.16342 of 2024(O&M)
Date of Decision:28.10.2025

Satish Kumar
....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others
....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. P.S.Jammu, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Shreyansi Verma, Central Government Counsel
for Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

- 1. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed if respondent No. 7 is directed to consider and address Annexure P-7 i.e. application dated 04.11.2024 filed by the petitioner.
- 2. Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that competent authority would look into the matter and address Annexure P-7 within four weeks from today.
- 3. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.
- 4. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.10.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether reportable:	Yes	No