



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5682-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 07.07.2025**

Santosh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

2. In compliance to the order dated 28.05.2025, status report by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, dated 03.07.2025, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, has been filed in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

Paras 4 & 5 of the status report read as under:-

“4. That in compliance of the order dated 28.05.2025 passed by this Hon'ble Court, statement of petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2 were recorded, wherein, they have stated that they are in relationship and knows each other. Further stated that they had solemnized marriage on dated 24.05.2025 according to Hindu rights and ceremonies. Further stated that



they had filled the protection petition in the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court on behest of private respondent and now they don't want to pursue the same as their family members (private respondent has agreed to their relation). The copy of the statement of petitioner No.1 and 2 have been annexed herewith as ANNEXURE R-1 & ANNEXURE R-2 respectively.

5. That therefore, statement of private respondents have been recorded and as per the statement they have stated that petitioner No.1 had solemnized marriage with the petitioner.2 against her own free will and they have nothing to do with their marriage. Further stated that they have no objection regarding the marriage. The statement of respondent No. 4 and 6 have been annexed herewith as ANNEXURE R-3 & ANNEXURE R-4 respectively.”

3. In view of the facts recorded in the paragraphs, reproduced above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press the prayer made in the instant petition, at this stage.

4. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

(Sanjay Vashisth)
Judge

07.07.2025

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No