



CWP-16219-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-16219-2025

Date of Decision :29.05.2025

M/s Harkirat Transport Co. Regd., Jagraon

...Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority, Patiala
and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner-company argues that the petitioner-company is operating the route permit on Hathur-Jagraon and Barnala-Jagraon via Gehlan but the regular joint time table has not been approved, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner- company.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner-company has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner-company will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy

**CWP-16219-2025****-2-**

Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, legal notice dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) has been received by the respondents and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the respondents by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of copy of this order. In case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner-company, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner- company will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed which order will be conveyed to the petitioner-company for its information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner-company submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 29, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No