



CWP-23904-2016

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CWP-23904-2016

Date of Decision: 03.12.2025

Meghna Tomar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to appoint her on the post of Constable in the Female Constable (General Duty) under General Category.

2. It is case of the petitioner that she has secured more marks than the last selected candidate for the post of Female Constable (General Duty) under General Category and hence, she deserves to be appointed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner scored more marks than cut-off, thus, she was entitled to post of Constable.

4. Learned State counsel submits that instant petition was ordered to be heard along with *CWP No.23645 of 2016* and *CWP No.23646 of 2016*. Both the aforesaid petitions stand dismissed vide order dated 06.12.2016.

The petitioner had scored less than cut-off, thus, she was not eligible. She

cannot be granted grace marks.

5. As the petitioner has scored less marks than last selected candidate, she is not eligible for the claimed post. This Court cannot grant grace marks. Even otherwise, the matter relates to appointment of 2016. A period of 9 years has passed away. The selection process stands completed, thus, at this stage, this Court does not deem it appropriate to interfere in the matter.

6. In the backdrop, this Court is of the considered opinion that petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.12.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No