

2025:PHHC:074940



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CWP-16207-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 29.05.2025

Surinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner had already been granted the licence from 2005 till 2022, the renewal of which he has sought and recommended by the SDO (Civil), Mahendergarh, on 29.12.2023, to the Deputy Commissioner at Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, which was referred back to the SDO (Civil), who in turn asked the Naib Tehsildar to enquire into it, and vide Annexure P-10 gave his recommendation. However, SDO (Civil), vide letter dated 21.03.2025, Annexure P-12, has yet again sent the same to the Naib Tehsildar for a detailed inquiry. He prays that a direction may be given to respondent No.3 to take a final decision on the application for renewal of licence and in case any document is required, the petitioner will be more than willing

to submit the same within the time which shall be granted for the said purpose.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that respondent No.3 shall intimate the petitioner about the documents required, if any, within a period of 2 weeks, and decide his claim within a further period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of such documents.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

29.05.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No