



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16068-2025

Date of Decision : **04.12.2025**

GURPREET SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ketan Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Pratibha Bali, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitish Bhatia, Advocate,
for respondents no.3 and 4.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to Award dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-3), passed by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Ludhiana (respondent no.2), wherethrough, the petitioner has ordered to pay Rs.7,500/- each to respondents no.3 and 4, every month as expenses/maintenance separately.

2. The Award, as impugned before this Court is appealable one, under the provisions of Section 16 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007. This Court has already observed in its judgement rendered in “**Kaptan Singh vs. Commissioner-cum-Appellate Authority, Sonipat and others**” (CWP-2610-2022, decided

on 14.10.2025, that any aggrieved person, other than senior citizen can also prefer an appeal.

3. In view of the above, this Court finds that the instant petition is a misconceived motion, which is consequently, **dismissed**. However, a liberty is afforded to the petitioner to prefer an appeal before the learned appellate authority concerned.

4. In case, the petitioner files an apt motion before the learned appellate authority concerned within a period of 30 days from today, the latter concerned, shall make all its endeavours to decide the same, as expeditiously as possible, after giving an adequate opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned.

5. Alongwith the said appeal, if, an application seeking seeking condonation of delay, is filed, the same shall be considered most sympathetically, considering the fact that the petitioner had, at the first instance, approached this Court by filing a misconceived motion under *bona fide* impression.

6. At this Stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the aforesaid maintenance amount of Rs.7,500/- is being regularly paid by the petitioner, in compliance of the impugned order.

December 04, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No