



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

FAO-3618-2006 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: November 06, 2024

Des Raj and anr.

.....Appellants

Vs.

Daya Nand and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 2

Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate, for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Advocate, for respondent No. 4

----

**SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)**

1. Today, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants. Even on the last date, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants. It seems that the appellants are no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is now left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

2. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. Pending applications if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)  
JUDGE**

**November 06, 2024**

G Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No