



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CRM-M-30430-2025

Date of Decision : 25.09.2025

MURTI AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. S.S. Siao, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners, who have been arrayed as accused in case FIR No.352 dated 05.05.2018, registered against them at the instance of respondent No.2 – Dr. Rajender Kumar (complainant) for commission of offences punishable u/s 3-A, 6 (a) of Prenatal Diagnostic Techniques (Regulation and Prevention of Misuse Act), Sections 147, 149, 186, 332, 353, 379-B IPC at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal, has filed the present petition for quashing of the aforesaid FIR along with all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in terms of order dated 04.08.2021 (Annexure P-5), accused were only summoned to face trial for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 186, 332, 353 IPC. Learned Magistrate clubbed both the FIR, as also the complaint filed by the complainant alleging violation of provisions of Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques Act, 1994.

3. Aggrieved of the said order, complainant filed revision petition. The same has not been adjudicated till date. However, for reasons best

known, learned Magistrate is continuing with recording statement of complainant witnesses.

4. As of now, learned counsel limits his prayer to direct the Revision Court to decide his petition, expeditiously.

5. Heard. Documents perused.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Revisional Court to decide the petition, filed by the complainant (District Appropriate Authority, Karnal under (Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques)) expeditiously, preferably within a period of 03 months from now.

7. Learned trial Court is also directed to adjourned the case beyond the final decision of the revision petition.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

Nisha Yadav

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>