

2025:PHHC:152481



COCP-2866-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-2866-2025

Date of decision : 06.11.2025

Renu Chaudhary

... Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Agarwal and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for disobeying the judgment dated 18.12.2008 passed in CWP-8444-2007 (Annexure P-1) and Civil Appeal no.333 of 2015 decided by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 10.05.2018 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned State counsel has stated that in pursuance of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court, the respondent authorities have passed the order dated 29.10.2025 as per which the petitioner has been promoted w.e.f. 25.10.2007. A copy of the said order has been handed over to this Court during the course of arguments, which is taken on record as



Mark A. A copy of the same has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he still has two grievances left. The first grievance projected by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been given posting order. The second grievance which has been projected by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has only been given notional benefits and not actual benefits in spite of the fact that the case has been upheld upto the Hon'ble Surpeme Court. It is submitted that in similar contempt petition i.e., COCP-2349-2021, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has taken cognizance of the said aspect.

4. Learned State counsel, in response to the above, has submitted that as far the posting orders are concerned, the same will be issued within a period of two weeks from today. It is submitted that as far as the grievance with respect to actual benefit is concerned, it is the stand of the State that as per the orders passed by the Division Bench, the consequential benefits have not been ordered to be given to the petitioner and at any rate, the order passed by the Contempt Court in COCP-2349-2021 have been stayed by the Division Bench of this Court in CACP-48-2024 and CACP-49-2024.

5. Learned counsel or the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above, the present contempt petition be disposed of at this stage but the respondents be bound by the statement made before this Court as far as the issuance of posting orders are concerned and the petitioner be given liberty



to revive the same after final decision in CACP-48-2024 and CACP-49-2024in case any cause still survives.

6. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with the liberty as prayed for. The State would be bound by the statement made before this Court with respect to issuance of posting orders of the petitioner.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 06, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No