



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

149

CRWP-5673-2025

Date of decision: 28.05.2025

Rajesh Kumar @ Sabi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family at the hands of respondents No.7 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had lodged a complaint against respondents No.7 to 9 leading to the registration of an FIR under Sections 7 and 13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. Learned counsel asserts that the official respondents after colluding with the co-accused prepared a cancellation report which is pending consideration before the Court concerned. It has been further submitted that the petitioner has categorically stated before the Court concerned that he does not accept the cancellation report. However, the private respondents along with the official respondents have been exerting undue pressure upon the petitioner to give a statement in favour of respondents No.7 to 9. He submits that a

**CRWP-5673-2025**

representation in the said regard has already been given to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar on 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2), however, no action has yet been taken against the private respondents as well as the other official respondents who are exerting pressure upon the petitioner.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to look into the representation dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner at the earliest and take appropriate steps as may be required under the law so that his life and liberty may not be jeopardized.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

6. In view of the restricted prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to look into the grievance of the petitioner as set out in representation dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) and take necessary steps at the earliest, if any required, in accordance with law, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family.

28.05.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No