



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19715-2017 (O & M) and other connected matters
Date of Decision: 04.07.2025

Gurmeet SinghPetitioner(s)

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and othersRespondent(s)

Sr No.	Case Number	Title
2.	CWP-19714-2017 (O&M)	Sanjeev Kumar Sharma V/S UHBVNL and others
3.	CWP-19739-2017 (O&M)	Parveen Kumar V/S UHBVNL and others
4.	CWP-19735-2017 (O&M)	Rakesh Kumar V/S UHBVNL and others
5.	CWP-19738-2017 (O&M)	Anil Kumar V/S UHBVNL and others
6.	CWP-19777-2017 (O&M)	Parveen Kashyap V/S UHBVNL and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s)
(in CWP Nos.19715 and 19735 of 2017).

Mr. Amit Bansal, Advocate,
for Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s)
(in CWP Nos.19714, 19739, 19738 and 19777 of 2017).



Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Udit Garg and Mr. Ritvik Garg, Advocates,
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, above noted writ petitions are hereby adjudicated as issues involved and prayer sought in all the petitions are common. For the sake of convenience and with the consent of parties, the facts are borrowed from *CWP-19715-2017*.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of charge sheet dated 20.07.2017.

3. The petitioner was implicated in FIR No.389 dated 26.10.2016 under Sections 409, 411, 420, 120-B IPC read with Section 137 of Electricity Act and Section 13 of P.C. Act lodged at Police Station, Farakpur. The respondent initiated departmental proceedings against him. During the pendency of departmental proceedings, he was convicted by Trial Court vide judgment dated 13.08.2021 passed by Additional District & Sessions Judge, Yamunanagar at Jagadhari. He has preferred appeal before this Court against said judgment which is still pending. On account of his conviction, the respondent vide order dated 27.08.2021 dismissed him from service. The sole ground of dismissal from service was conviction by trial Court in afore-stated FIR.

4. On being confronted with the fact that judgment of conviction till date has not been stayed by Appellate Court, learned counsel for the

2025:PHHC:079407



petitioners seek permission to withdraw instant petitions with liberty to assail dismissal order dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-9) in accordance with law.

- 5. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

04.07.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No