



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-17961-2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 03.03.2025

Neetu Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Cyrus, Advocate for  
Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for direction to respondents to consider the petitioner for appointment to the post of SST teacher.

2. As per the result of PSTET, declared on 29.07.2011, the petitioner, who had secured 80 marks, being lesser than the minimum required i.e. 82, who were appointed, however, subsequently, it is stated that as per the revised result declared on 24.10.2017, the petitioner was granted 84 marks, thus was entitled for consideration.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the issue as raised in the present case already stands decided against the petitioner in **Parveen Kumar vs. State of Punjab and Others**, CWP-29337-2024, decided on 26.11.2024 along with other connected cases, which learned counsel appearing for her, despite best efforts has



been unable to controvert regards the factual position and draw out any distinctive aspects in the aforementioned judgment or cite any contrary law, relevant paras whereof read thus:

“ .... 13. The question which arises for consideration of this Court is whether, the revised result of Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test can be brought into operation so as to recalculate the merit of the petitioners in respect of the selection process, which has already been over.

14. As per the settled principle of law, the eligibility of a candidate is to be seen upto the last date of submission of the application form. It is a conceded position that on the last date of submission of the application form in the respective Advertisements qua the post of Master/Mistress, either the petitioners were not eligible having not passed the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test or they were having particular marks secured in the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test, which fact was considered by the Selection Committee while evaluating the claim of the petitioners for the post in question hence, the petitioners cannot raise a claim that once their Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test result was revised in the year 2017 though, after the conclusion of the selection process, the benefit of the same is still to be extended to them even qua the selection process which had attained finality.

16. Once, the petitioners have been evaluated keeping in view their result of Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test as on the last date of submission of the application form, the petitioners cannot claim the revision of the result on subsequent revision of their marks of the result of Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test, which only came into existence after the completion of the selection process. The claim of the petitioners that their revised eligibility give them a right for consideration again cannot be accepted. The candidates, who have already been selected keeping in view their eligibility on the last date of submission of application form, cannot be made to suffer merely because the result of the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test of the petitioners have been revised after the completion of the selection.

17. Further, as per the terms and conditions of the Advertisement, the passing of the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test was sacrosanct. The petitioners who did not pass the Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test upto the last date of submission of the application form, cannot claim eligibility on subsequent revision of the PSTET result. Once, the selection process is over, the subsequent revision of their result will not give a right to the petitioners either to be treated as eligible or for consideration of their claim afresh on the basis



of the revised result of Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test.”

4. In wake of the aforesaid, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

03.03.2025  
M.Kamra

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |