



142 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16375-2025

Date of Decision: 29.05.2025

ISHWAR SINGH ALIAS ISHWAR SINGH SAROHA

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to grant him salary for the period from 19.06.2008 to 31.01.2012.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner is entitled to service benefits for the period from 19.06.2008 to 31.01.2012. During the said period, the petitioner remained out of service. He was dismissed from service. This Court vide judgment dated 06.09.2010 passed in ***Civil Writ Petition No.8838 of 2009*** titled as ***'Ishwar Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others'*** set aside his dismissal from service and directed the respondent to conduct departmental inquiry. The petitioner was acquitted vide judgment dated 30.10.2009 passed by Special Judge, Jind in the criminal case which was foundation of his dismissal from service. The respondent passed fresh order whereby he was compulsorily retired from service. He preferred appeal before the Appellate Authority which substituted his punishment for 25% cut in his pension because petitioner in the interregnum had already attained the



age of superannuation. He preferred *Civil Writ Petition No.13226 of 2016* titled as ‘*Ishwar Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others*’ which was allowed vide order dated 23.12.2022. The respondent pursuant to aforesaid order of this Court granted promotion w.e.f. 20.03.2008, however, no financial benefits were granted for the aforesaid period. The respondent in its order dated 23.08.2023 has observed that there is need of guidance/clarification with respect to petitioner’s entitlement to consequential benefits. The matter is pending before authorities for last two years and no final order has been passed.

3. Faced with aforesaid facts, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana who on advance notice is present in Court submits that Competent Authority would pass an appropriate order within four months from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to consider petitioner’s claim in terms of judgment dated 23.12.2022 passed by this Court in *Ishwar Singh (supra)* as well as judgment passed in a bunch of petitions including *Civil Writ Petition No.7776 of 2024* titled as ‘*Iqbal Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others*’.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.05.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No