



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

153

CRWP-5735-2025

Date of Decision: 29.05.2025

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No. 5 to 14 and to take action on their representations dated 02.02.2024, 24.08.2024, 27.12.2024 and 09.05.2024 (Annexure P-1, P-2, P-6 and P-7 respectively).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has filed various representations (Annexure P-1, P-2, P-6 and P-7) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot raising his grievance as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot to decide the aforesaid representations filed by the petitioner and



take necessary action thereon.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Vivek Sharma, A.A.G, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.
- 5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, to decide the aforesaid representations (Annexure P-1, P-2, P-6 and P-7) of the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide him adequate security in order to protect his life and liberty.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

May 29, 2025
Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No