289

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31102-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 19.08.2025

Kaushal Juneja @ Joxy

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Pankaj, Advocate for
Mr. Digvijay Singh Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

****

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of FIR No.105, dated 21.12.2023, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station PS Women, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also gone through the case file.

3. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 01.07.2025, directed the parties to appear before the Area Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 24.07.2025 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar, Mohali. A perusal of the said report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled between them and they have no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion.

5. The Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

6. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

> *"57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.*

Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.

xxx xxxxxx. ”

7. In view of the afore-referred judgments and after perusing the report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioner and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing the FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

8. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.105, dated 21.12.2023, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station PS Women, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) ***subject to the costs of Rs.20,000/- to be deposited in the Poor Patients' Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within one month.***

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

August 19, 2025
Ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No

ITHLESH KUMAR
2025.08.20 10:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment