

2025:PHHC:005890



505

FAO-1300-2011

Vinod Kumar Vs. Anil Kumar and others

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr.Aseem Aggarwal, Advocate with
Ms.Anjana Mistry, Manager, for the respondent-Insurance
Company.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant.

On 19.11.2024, notice issued to the appellant Vinod Kumar was received back with the report that appellant had shifted to Sonapat City and his exact address was not known. Even earlier, it has been recorded in the order dated 30.05.2024 that service could not be effected upon the appellant due to incomplete address. It has been stated in the said order that even on earlier occasions, learned counsel for the appellant had stated before the National Lok Adalat that the appellant was not interested to negotiate for any amount. In the interest of justice, notice was sent to Mr.Kamal Hooda, Advocate at Sonapat, who represented the appellant before the MACT. The said notice has been duly served upon the Advocate, however, none is present today on behalf of the appellant.

In view of the above, it seems that the appellant has lost interest in pursuing his appeal. The file is therefore, ordered to be consigned to the record room with liberty to the appellant to get it revived by making appropriate application.

(JASBIR SINGH)
PRESIDENT

(B.C.GUPTA)
MEMBER

16.01.2025

Meenu