



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(279)

CWP No. 15016 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 15.01.2025

Anu Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Union Territory and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sarabjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Hemani Sarin, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr. Nishant Hooda, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Short reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 1 has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, in a litigation before the Civil Court involving the petitioner and respondent No. 3, a finding has been recorded by the Competent Court of Law that respondent No. 3 is not the adopted son of Karam Chand i.e. the basis on which he has got compassionate appointment after the death of Karam Chand, who is biological father of the present petitioner.



3. Learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner placing reliance upon such pronouncement by the Competent Court of Law, the same will be taken into consideration and after giving due hearing to respondent No. 3 as well as keeping in view any document submitted by him in support of his claim, appropriate decision as to whether respondent No. 3 was entitled for the compassionate appointment being 'adopted son' or not will be taken and in case, it is found that respondent No. 3 was entitled for the benefit of compassionate appointment, due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed and in case, it is found that respondent No. 3 was not entitled for the said compassionate appointment, appropriate decision with reasons will be taken.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to the petitioner to file representation with the authorities concerned.

5. Present petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 15, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No