

2025:PHHC:077124



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-15949-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 01.07.2025

Reena

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel contends that two employees, namely, Raghav and Virender, who were working in the Drug Testing Laboratory, have been granted regularization while the petitioner, working in the same college as Lecturer, has not been considered despite there being Policy, Annexure P-4 qua the same, regarding which a representation dated 16.10.2024, Annexure P-6, has been submitted, but it has yet not evoked any response. He, on instructions, prays that a direction may be given to the respondents to decide it in a time bound manner, to which learned State counsel has no objection.
2. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 16.10.2024, within a

period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to her and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

01.07.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No