



CWP-15756-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-15756-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.12.2025

Yuvraj Arora and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Director, Town and Country Planning Department, Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present:- Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Akhilesh Barak, Advocate, Mr. Shaurya Khanna, Advocate,
Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. Abhijeet Chaudhary, Advocate and
Mr. Shubham Madaan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Special Counsel (through VC) and
Mr. Lokesh Narang, Senior Panel Counsel for the respondent/ED.

Mr. Aashish Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Mukesh Mehra, Advocate and
Mr. Varun Aryan Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.5 to 7.

Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sumer Singh Boparai, Advocate and
Mr. Mukesh Mehra, Advocate for respondents No.5 to 7.

Mr. Randeep S. Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate and
Ms. Rubina Virmani, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

CM-13961-2025

1. This application is for impleading the Enforcement Directorate as
respondent No.9.

**CWP-15756-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

2. It is stated in the application that the assets of respondents No.5 to 7-Developer have been attached by Enforcement Directorate and the proceedings in connection therewith are pending before the Special Court, PMLA. Therefore, it is necessary to implead it as a party.

3. This Court had issued notice in the application to the non-applicants vide order dated 24.09.2025 but no reply/status report has been filed thereto.

4. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and Enforcement Directorate, through its Director, is impleaded as respondent No.9. Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

CWP-15756-2025

1. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are home-buyers, had invested their money to purchase 77 residential units/plots in the project which was to be developed by respondents No.5 to 7-Developer. He also submits that the petitioners had approached the Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority and an order had also been passed in their favour which is pending execution before the Authority. He further submits that despite the attachment order, the private respondents are disposing off their assets.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.9/Enforcement Directorate submits that the land as described in the Khasra numbers mentioned in the petition has been attached as 'proceeds of crime'. He further submits that the Enforcement Directorate shall look into other 'proceeds of crime' including any other land/assets sold by respondents No.5 to 7-Developer in accordance with law.



3. Learned State counsel submits that license No.128 issued to respondents No.5 to 7-Developer is valid only till 27.12.2025 and before renewing the same, the objections of the petitioners would be taken into account and a decision would be taken in accordance with law.
4. At this juncture, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition at this stage with liberty to pursue alternative remedy before the competent authority in accordance with law.
5. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
6. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

December 01, 2025
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No