



**CM-16278-CWP-2024 and
CM-16279-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-15019-2023**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118+286)

**CM-16278-CWP-2024 and
CM-16279-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-15019-2023
Date of Decision : February 04, 2025**

Gurtej Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate, with
Ms. Kanika Toor, Advocate and
Mr. Risham Raag Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Solomon Partap Singh, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-16278-CWP-2024

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

CM-16279-CWP-2024

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.5 along with Annexure

A-1 is taken on record.

CWP-15019-2023

1. In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is for the reimbursement of the medical bills, which have been rejected by the



**CM-16278-CWP-2024 and
CM-16279-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-15019-2023**

2

respondents on the ground that a claim was raised after a period of 30 days hence, the Insurance Company, which was liable to pay the said amount, is no longer liable to pay the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said question of law has already been decided by the Division Bench of this Court in *LPA No.629 of 2020 titled as Oriental Insurance Company Ltd vs. Maninder Singh Patwari and others and other connected cases, decided on 30.03.2022* wherein, it has already been held that the claim for grant of reimbursement of the medical bills cannot be declined only on the ground that the same was raised beyond a period of 30 days. Direction has been given by the Division Bench of this Court to consider the claim irrespective of the objection that the claim was raised after a period of 30 days.

3. Learned counsel appearing of behalf of the respondents has not been able to dispute the said fact.

4. Despite service, no one has appeared on behalf of the respondent-Insurance Company so as to rebut the said contention.

5. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in *Maninder Singh Patwari's case (supra)*.

February 04, 2025
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No