

[209] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3348-2025

Date of Decision : 10.06.2025

Bijender Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Sub Divisional Officer, UHBVNL,
Rohtak and others

....Respondents

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. Vasundhra, Advocate with Mr. Asija Bhandari, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the learned District Judge, Rohtak while dismissing the application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure vide Order dated 14.05.2025 has wrongly recorded that the counsel for the petitioner/plaintiff was not ready to argue the main appeal.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsels are *ad idem* that they have no objection, if the Order dated 14.05.2025 is set aside with a direction to the learned District Judge, Rohtak, to decide the appeal in a time bound manner.

Without going into the merits of the case, the Order dated 14.05.2025 passed by the learned District Judge, Rohtak, is hereby set aside with a direction to the learned District Judge, Rohtak to decide the appeal on or before the date already fixed.

Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

10.06.2025

'R. Sharma'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No