



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

CWP-15130-2023

Date of Decision:- 09.12.2025

UNION BANK OF INDIA THROUGH AUTHORISED OFFICER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Shailender Kashyap, Advocate,
Mr. Abhinav Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Additional A.G. Haryana
(through V.C.).

Mr. Karan Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.6 and 7.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the rival parties do not dispute that the interim moratorium u/s 96 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 has started since 24.03.2021, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this petition, filed by the Bank, aggrieved by the non-execution of the order u/s 14 of Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, pending.

2. Petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach again in case the grievance remains unaddressed.

**(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

09.12.2025

S.Sharma

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No