



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CRWP-5814-2025**Date of decision: 29.05.2025****Sahil Malpotra****...Petitioner****VERSUS****State of Punjab and Ors.****...Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR****Present:** Mr. Robin Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 11, along with further prayer to decide the representation dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is son of respondent Nos.4 and 5 and got married to respondent No.6 on 23.01.2011, who was able to get the faith of parents of petitioner but in reality she had been bickering the petitioner since the inception of marriage. Petitioner used to bear the atrocities by respondent No.6 for the sake of matrimonial life. In July 2024, the petitioner was severely beaten up with cricket bat breaking his index finger. On 21.08.2024, he was given beatings by his wife and other family members. In November, 2024, again respondent Nos.4 to 11 entered into a heated altercation with petitioner and he was given severe beatings by respondent No.6 and other respondents causing multiple hairline fractures. Being fed up of with this daily physical abuse and taunts, in December, 2024, the petitioner expressed his desire in December, 2024, to end partnership in the business firms and close all ties with family members to put an end to the disputes. On 13.01.2025, the petitioner had sent a formal notice of terminating the partnership with his family members in the respective firms. Upon which the petitioner was again given beatings and blows by private respondents mercilessly. They began to pressurize and threaten him of dire

consequences in case he did not succumb to their illegal and unlawful demand of surrendering his shares in their favour in the respective firms. He has also submitted that the petitioner had also filed representation dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, but till date no action has been taken.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he would be satisfied, in case, the pending representation (Annexure P-4) is decided by respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and submitted that she has no objection if the aforesaid representation dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) is decided.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3—the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, to look into the representation dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4), at the earliest, regarding threat perception and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent No.4 to 11. However, it will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

29.05.2025
jyoti3

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No