

CRM-M-29851-2025 and connected cases

2025.PHHC.075093

-1-



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234+235

CRM-M-29851-2025

Date of Decision: 29.05.2025

(1) Param Vir Joshi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CRM-M-29888-2025

(2) Lovepreet Singh @ Buttar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose off two bail petitions, i.e., CRM-M-29851-2025 titled as “ **Param Vir Joshi Vs. State of Punjab**” and CRM-M-29888-2025 titled as “**Lovepreet Singh @ Buttar Vs. State of Punjab**”, whereby, the petitioner(s) have applied for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS in case FIR No.213 dated 20.11.2024 under Sections 109, 191(3), 61(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Division No.5, District Ludhiana.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contends that as per the case set up by the prosecution, both the petitioner(s) were sitting in a car, which was driven by Balkaran Singh, co-accused and had hit the injured namely Vaneet Arora. He further contends that in fact Balkaran Singh was arrested by the police and has been granted the concession of regular bail. Moreover, two more accused Harmandeep Singh and Gurpreet Singh were also arrested and have already been ordered to be released on bail. By referring to compromise deed Annexure P-1, learned counsel submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s) on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner(s).

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. It is not in dispute that Balkaran Singh, who was driving the offending vehicle has already been granted the concession of bail. Moreover, two other similarly placed co-accused Harmandeep Singh and Gurpreet Singh have been granted the concession of bail. Thus, further custody of the petitioners may not serve any useful purpose.

7. Thus, without commenting any further, the petitions are allowed and the petitioner(s) are granted concession of anticipatory



bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner(s) to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and they shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

29.05.2025

vipin

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No