

2025:PHHC:127453



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

308

**CRM-M No.33678 of 2023
Date of decision: 15.09.2025**

Nirmal Singh Diwan Singh Bhoi and another ... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Himanshu Gulati, Advocate,
for Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG, Punjab.
for the respondent-State.

Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr. Udayveer Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners had joined investigation in compliance of order dated 01.09.2023 as revealed from the record, subsequently, a settlement was arrived at between the parties. Today, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the complainant that the terms of the settlement have been complied with by both the parties and a sale deed has been executed by the petitioners in favour of the complainant.

2025:PHHC:127453



2. Learned counsel for the complainant has also submitted that he has no objection if the order qua grant of interim bail is made absolute. Even otherwise, since the petitioners have joined investigation and as their custodial interrogation is not required as submitted by learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, therefore, no case for pre trial incarceration of the petitioners is required. It is well settled that pre trial incarceration should not be a replica of post conviction sentencing. Given the nature of the allegations and the above discussed facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 01.09.2023, granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

15.09.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No