



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CR-3426-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 21, 2025

Davinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Sharanjit Kaur and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Dr. Sumati Jund, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

Challenge in this Civil Revision is for setting aside the impugned order dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-5), passed by learned Ist Appellate Court, whereby the learned Ist Appellate Court failed to consider the *bona fide* plea of the petitioner qua staying of operation of eviction order (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that learned Additional District Judge, Rupnagar, has wrongly dismissed the objection petition filed by the petitioner on the ground that there is no stay in the appeal which is filed by the petitioner.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the interim order dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-5). The relevant portion of the same is reproduced as under:-

*“Appeal against judgment/order received by way of
entrustment. Office report seen. It be checked and registered.*



Alongwith appeal, application for staying the implementation/order during the pendency of the appeal has also been filed. Ahlmad is directed to register the said application as IA in CIS. Heard. File perused. In my view, before granting ex parte stay, respondents are required to be heard before proceeding in the matter.”

4. A perusal of the above shows that learned Additional District Judge, Rupnagar, has rightly observed that before granting *ex parte* stay, respondents are required to be heard and has passed a well reasoned order, which calls for no interference by this Court.

5. In view of the above, the present Civil Revision petition is dismissed.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

July 21, 2025
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No