

2025:PHHC:081811



209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30717-2025

Date of decision: 09.07.2025

Dilbagh Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Jasmeet Singh Bhatia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.48, dated 23.04.2025, under Sections 132, 221, 262, 263, 351(2), 190 of BNS, 2023, and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is of having threatened the complainant with a firearm.

3. On the last date of hearing i.e. 29.05.2025, a coordinate Bench of this Court had extended the concession of interim bail to the petitioner while noticing the following:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that earlier vide order dated 01.05.2025 the petitioner was granted

interim bail by learned trial Court but later on vide order dated 21.05.2025 the interim bail was revoked by observing that the petitioner was not co-operating with the investigating agency and was having an uncooperative attitude. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and fully co-operate with the investigating agency.

xxx xxx

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report. She has no objection if the petitioner is granted interim bail and direction is issued to the petitioner to join the investigation and fully co-operate with the investigating agency.”

4. In compliance with the order dated 29.05.2025, status report by way of affidavit dated 08.07.2025 of Riputapan Singh Sandhu, PPS, Superintendent of Police-SDPO (City), Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, on behalf of respondent-State, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 29.05.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

6. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhwiner Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further

instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

7. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 29.05.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 09, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No