



256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15839-2024

Date of decision: 28.08.2025

Deepa Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization with all consequential benefits in the light of the policy decision dated 07.07.2014 (Annexure P-1) and further directions be issued for payment of salary in accordance with the rate fixed by Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam vide its letter dated 06.09.2023 (Annexure P-2) to the similarly situated employees/sweepers.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization in terms of the judgment rendered by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.10071 of 2022 titled as 'Sanjeev Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others' in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents at the very outset submits that the claim of the petitioner can be examined in the light of the judgment



CWP-15839-2024

-2-

rendered by the Single Bench of this Court in *Sanjeev Kumar's case (supra)* and appropriate order would be passed in accordance with law.

4. In view of the controversy involved and the stand taken by counsel for the petitioner and respondents-Corporation, the present petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment rendered by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in *Sanjeev Kumar's case (supra)*. The respondents-Corporation are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization as per the Policy of 2011 and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In the event that the petitioner is found not eligible for the benefit of the Policy of 2011, her case shall be considered in terms of the Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be communicated to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondents.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

28.08.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No