

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5585-2025 (O&M)

Decided on: 16.07.2025

Komal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

CRM-W-988-2025

Instant application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2025 for impleading “**Ravi son of Jagdish, resident of Kemri, Tehsil and District Hisar, Haryana**”, as respondent No.11.

For reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. **Ravi son of Jagdish, resident of Kemri, Tehsil and District Hisar, Haryana** is impleaded as party-respondent No.11 in the array of parties.


Memo of parties has been filed and the same is taken on record.

CRM-W-760-2025

Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-W-987-2025

Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.



Main case

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that **they are living in a live-in relationship** and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 10.

PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:


Petitioner No.	Name & parantage	DoB or Age
1	Komal daughter of Harnam Singh, resident of Ward No.15, 6 JRK Post Office Jandiwali, District Hanumagarh, Rajasthan at present Gali No.2, Near LIC Building, Sirsa	21 years
2	Kamaljeet son of Rajender Singh, resident of Village Ratta Khera, District Sirsa, Haryana now at present Gali No.02, Near LIC Building, Sirsa, Tehsil and District Sirsa, Haryana	25

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and living in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-1), to respondent No.2, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to look into the representation dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-1), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure



that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law. Concerned Authority would bring to the notice of respondent No.11 about the passing of ex-parte decree dated 04.01.2025, passed by Mr. Ashok Kumar Tak, Principal Judge, Family Court, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.07.2025

Ithlesh

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO