



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-29817-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.08.2025

Manjinder Singh @ Kallu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.43 dated 10.04.2025 registered under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 115(2), 190, 191(3), 351(2) of BNS, at Police Station Sultanwind, Amritsar, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, District Amritsar.

2. On 29.05.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.43 dated 10.04.2025 registered under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 115(2), 190, 191(3), 351(2) of BNS, at Police Station Sultanwind, Amritsar, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it was the complainant party, which had attacked the petitioner and other co-accused and inflicted serious injuries on their person. By referring to the MLR (Annexure P-4), learned counsel submits that even the petitioner had suffered serious injuries on his person including one injury on his head. He further contends that as per the complainant, the petitioner was carrying a datar and had caused an injury on the head as well as the left foot of the complainant. He further contends that in fact, the complainant side is a member of the Kissan Union and by exerting illegal pressure on the police, they managed to get the FIR registered against the petitioner. However, the version of the petitioner was not seen by the police and no action has been taken against the complainant party in the present case.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the injury suffered by the complainant on right side of his head has been declared to be

grievous in nature and the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merit of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

Adjourned to 28.08.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 29.05.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

28.08.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No