



**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-16949-2025**

**Date of Decision: 07.08.2025**

ARJUN DASS NIGAM

...PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

1. Challenge in the instant petition is to the Punishment Order(s) dated 23.02.2022 passed by the punishing authority and the order dated 21.03.2023 passed by the Appellate Authority.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is not in a position to dispute that Rule 39 of the National Insurance Company (Conduct, Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 2014 provide for a statutory review with the Corporation and does not dispute that the said remedy has not been availed by the petitioner.

3. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant writ petition at this stage so as to avail the remedy of review as has been statutorily prescribed in the National Insurance Company (Conduct, Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 2014. In the event of the review being filed, the period spent in pursuing the present petition be



**CWP-16949-2025**

-2-

taken into consideration while computing limitation, if any.

4. With the aforesaid liberty, the instant petition is disposed of as not pressed.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.08.2025**  
*Rahul Joshi*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |