



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CWP-21723-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.02.2025

Neetu Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has been declared ineligible for appointment to the post of Sanskrit Mistress, not possessing the subject of Sanskrit in the B.Ed, as has been prescribed in the Punjab State Education Class-III (School Cadre) Service Rules, 1978.

3. The contention made on behalf of the petitioner is that, since in the advertisement, the qualification mentioned was B.Ed from a recognised university, in terms whereof, she being eligible, ought to have been appointed.

4. On a pointed query of this Court, as reflected from the order dated 30.08.2017, as to whether all selected and appointed candidates to the post of Sanskrit Master/Mistress with B.Ed along with teaching subject of Sanskrit, an affidavit dated 25.09.2017 had been filed specifically stating therein that all candidates, who have been appointed, possessed the qualification in terms of the statutory rules. Merely the fact that certain posts were lying vacant, cannot be a sufficient ground for a direction that the petitioner, who despite not fulfilling the

qualifications as envisaged by the rules, can be considered and selected.

5. There being no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

06.02.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No