



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15624-2025

Date of Decision : 22-07-2025

CHARAN SINGH**.....Petitioner**

VERSUS

EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANIZATION AND ORS**.....Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order passed by the Assistant PF Commissioner dated 13.08.2024(Annexure P-3) vide which, the pension on actual wages and his application for joint option under erstwhile Para No.11 (3) and amended Para No.11(4) of the Employee Pension Scheme 1995 is rejected.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in Paragraph No.6 of the impugned order, certain reasons have been mentioned for not accepting the aforementioned claim of the petitioner, which reasons are incorrect and the petitioner wants to go back to the authorities to clarify by giving the details as to why, the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of pension on the higher wages.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case, any such request is filed, the respondents be directed to pass an appropriate speaking order on the said representation in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case, any representation when induced into writing and submitted, will be taken into consideration and the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file an appropriate representation.

6. Keeping Ordered accordingly.

22-07-2025

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO