

2025:PHHC:134037



CRM-M-30238-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

322

**CRM-M-30238-2025
Decided on : 23.09.2025**

SHUBHAM @ SHUBHAM PANDIT AND OTHERS . PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS . RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. K.S. Dargan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harmanbir S Sandhu, Advocate for the respondents.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential



CRM-M-30238-2025

proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
0080	08.05.2025	115(2), 126(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS	Kartarpur	Jalandhar Rural

2. Vide order dated 03.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class-NRI, Jalandhar in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:



CRM-M-30238-2025

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Six persons
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Three
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	All the relevant aspects have been detailed above.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class-NRI, Jalandhar, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant

2025:PHHC:134037



CRM-M-30238-2025

petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 23, 2025
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*