



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

313

CRM-M-32449-2024

Date of decision: March 27, 2025

LOVEPREET SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Monika Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab
with ASI Kapil Kumar.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sohal, Advocate
for Ms. Shivya Sehgal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.39 dated 26.04.2024 under Section 365 of the IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 02.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, at the outset, has brought to the notice of this Court that only two out of the six accused are party to the compromise and have approached this Court by way of the instant petition. It has, therefore, been further argued by the learned State counsel that quashing of the FIR in question would amount to partial quashing on compromise *qua* both the accused, which would be contrary to the law laid down by the Hon'ble Division Bench in CRM-M No.48043 of 2023 titled as "*Rakesh Das Versus State of Haryana and another*".

**CRM-M-32449-2024****-2-**

3. Vide order dated 29.01.2025 of this Court, the parties had been directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate and get their respective statements recorded with respect to the compromise.

4. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana. As per the report, the parties failed to appear on 28.02.2025 and even thereafter.

5. In the given facts and circumstances, particularly the submissions made by the learned State counsel, this Court does not deem it fit to exercise its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR in question.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

7. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

March 27, 2025*Jaspreet Kaur***(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*