



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2245 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.01.2025

M/s. Randhawa Tiles and Sand Suppliers, Adda
Gillawali, G.T. Road Batala, District Gurdaspur
through its proprietor Rajwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pargat Singh, Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Block Fatehgarh Chudian, District Gurdaspur

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate and
Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmad, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM-11515-CII-2024

Application is **allowed**, as prayed for, subject to all just
exceptions. Exemption from filing the certified / typed copies of Annexures
P-1 & P-2 is granted.

MAIN CASE

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed compliance
report by way of affidavit dated 22.01.2025 of Ms. Paramjit Kaur, BDPO,
Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur (Punjab), which is taken on record.
Copy thereof has been supplied to the opposite side.

[2] By referring to the aforesaid compliance report, learned
counsel for the respondent submits that though the bills of Rs. 60 lakhs

(approx.) have been raised by the petitioner, however, as per the respondent, Rs. 43,33,222/- is payable to the petitioner, out of which, a sum of Rs.35,44,111/- already stands released in favour of the petitioner and he, further on instructions from Ms. Paramjit Kaur, BDPO, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur, submits that the remaining amount of Rs. 7,88,111/- shall be released in favour of the petitioner within a period of one week from today.

[3] In view of the above undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

[4] **Dismissed as not pressed.**

[5] However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring / concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

[6] Also, liberty is granted to the petitioner to raise its claim in appropriate proceedings regarding the remaining amount, if any.

[7] Rule stands discharged.

[8] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

January 22, 2025
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No