



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

224-2

Decided on : 11.12.2025

LPA-1616-2024 (O&M)

MANUSHREE SHARMA

...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

COCp-2598-2023 (O&M)

SANTOSH JOSH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS

...Petitioner

Versus

MANUSHREE SHARMA

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

PRESENT: Mr. Karan Kaushal, Advocate and
Mr. Nitesh Dala, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Jaivir Chandail, Advocate and
Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No. 9 (GMCH UT).

Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate
for respondents No.5 & 6 in LPA and
for the petitioner in COCP-2598-2023.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-3806-LPA-2024

The prayer in the present application is for condonation of delay of
422 days in filing the present appeal (LPA-1616-2024).

Keeping in view the averments enumerated in the application,
which are duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently,



the delay of 422 days in filing the present appeal (**LPA-1616-2024**) is condoned.

LPA-1616-2024 (O&M)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 12.04.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge, in CWP No. 5001 of 2019 filed by the appellant by which, the cost of Rs. 2 lakhs has been imposed upon the appellant by the learned Single Judge.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant unconditionally apologizes for certain allegations which were alleged in the CWP No. 5001 of 2019. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that henceforth the appellant will not file any other case against her brother and sister-in-law i.e. respondents No. 5 & 6 except to contest the litigation which was initiated by the mother of the appellant wherein respondent No. 5-brother is also the party.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants further submits that keeping in view the fact that the appellant is a retired teacher, the cost amount which is ordered to be paid to the respondents may kindly be waived off.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 5 & 6 submits that respondents No. 5 & 6 are not interested to receive the cost from the appellant and has made the statement that the same be deposited in any of the institution for the welfare of the general public but, as the appellant has repented her action and is seeking forgiveness, being the elder brother of the appellant, the cost imposed upon her to be given to respondents No. 5 and 6 may kindly be waived off.

5. Hence, keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel

LPA-1616-2024 (O&M)
COCP-2598-2023 (O&M)

3

2025:PHHC:173358-DB



for the appellants as well as respondents No. 5 & 6 recorded herein above, the order dated 12.04.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge is hereby modified to the extent of the payment of the Cost of Rs. 2 lakhs to respondents No. 5 & 6 is set-aside.

6. The present appeal stands disposed of in above terms.

COCP-2598-2023

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the order passed in the LPA-1616-2024 recorded herein above, the present COCP may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Rule discharged.

4. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case(s).

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

11.12.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No