



CRM-M-29801-20251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-29801-2025
Decided on: 18.08.2025

Shagandeep Singh

Versus

.....Petitioner

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Pranjal P. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Shagandeep Singh	150	07.10.2024	21/29/61/85 of NDPS Act, 1985	Baghanpurana	Moga

2. On 28.05.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	Distri ct
Shagandeep	150	07.10.2024	21/29/61/85	Baghanpur	Moga



Singh, aged 25 years			of NDPS Act, 1985	ana	
----------------------	--	--	-------------------	-----	--

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that on the basis of disclosure statement of the main accused namely Pritam Pal Singh @ Arsh and Akashdeep Singh @ Landi, from whom recovery of 20 grams of Heroin has been effected. Further submits that even in the FIR, which was registered prior to the effecting of recovery of contraband, even name of the petitioner was not even mentioned therein. Subsequently, on the basis of the alleged disclosure statement, petitioner has been made accused, whereas, he is not involved in any manner.

3. Learned counsel further submits that apart disclosure statement, no other connecting evidence is available with the prosecution and solely on the basis of disclosure statement, and there is no possibility of concluding of trial resulting into his conviction, only on the basis of disclosure statement.

Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report.

6. Adjourned to 18.08.2025.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 28.05.2025,

**CRM-M-29801-2025****3**

passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 17.07.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 28.05.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

18.08.2025

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**