



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-16360-2025

Date of Decision: 22.07.2025

PUJA MITTAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the third respondent/Commission to re-evaluate the petitioners' answer sheet and subsequently correct their result. Further, a direction has been sought to recommend the petitioners in accordance with their merit position.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.3/HPSC, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within two weeks from today, the same will be decided by the third respondent/Secretary, Haryana Public Service Commission, by passing a speaking order in



accordance with law within a period of two months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

22.07.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>