



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-2211-2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 09, 2025

Rekha and ors.

.....Appellants

Vs.

Mange Ram and ors.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. On 29.08.2025, Registry was directed to inform the parties as well as to their counsel about the next date of hearing. As per office report, appellant No. 1 and 2 have been served whereas notice issued to appellant No. 3 has not been received served or unserved. Appellant No. 4 is expired. Similarly, respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are expired and respondent No. 3 is served. Notice issued to respondent No. 4 has been received back with the report that no such person is residing at the given address.

2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the parties. It seems that the appellants are no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

September 09, 2025

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No