



CWP-15860-2025 (O&M)

Page 1 of 2

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15860-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.07.2025

JIWAN LAL AND OTHERS

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Dr. Jaiveer Singh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Notice of motion.
2. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State.
3. Both the counsel are *ad idem* that the issue stands finally adjudicated by this Court in common order with leading case of *Mahabir Singh Tanwar vs. State of Haryana and others, in CWP-14627-2024*, decided on 24.04.2025, wherein this Court held as under:

*“9. We have noticed the aforesaid submissions.
However, the same would have no impediment in disposing
of all these writ petitions as the claim of the writ
petitioners has to be decided finally now in terms of the
order passed by the Supreme Court on 20.02.2025 in
Miscellaneous application Dairy No.2400 of 2024 in Civil
Appeal No.3933 of 2023 with connected miscellaneous*



applications titled as "*Union of India and another versus M. Siddaraj (supra)*".

10. We, therefore, dispose of all these writ petitions and allow them in the same terms as above and with further directions to the Administration-respondents to pass specific orders taking into consideration the facts of each case. For the said purpose, we allow the State Government to take decision within a period of two months henceforth as all of the petitioners are already retired and their retiral benefits would be accordingly required to be adjusted and released.

11. The State authorities shall also take into consideration any policy decision which the State Government may take with respect to the persons, who retired having put in more than six months and less than one year of service in their last year of tenure.

12. Pending application(s), if any, in all the writ petitions are disposed of accordingly."

- 4. Present writ petition is disposed of *mutatis mutandis*.
- 5. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

10.07.2025

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |