

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

2025:PHHC:079026-DB



FAO-3547-2025

Date of decision: 04.07.2025

NARESH KUMAR

..Appellant

Versus

SEEMA

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

* * * *


ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. The appellant assails the correctness of order passed by the Family Court assessing maintenance payment to the wife at the rate of Rs.25,000/- per month keeping in view his gross salary at the rate of Rs.1,31,508/- per month. On account of failure of the appellant to file written statement, his defence was struck off and thereafter, the Court proceeded to finally decide the matter after allowing the respondent to lead evidence.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the impugned order is illegal and erroneous because the affidavits of assets and liabilities as directed by the Supreme Court were not submitted by the parties.

3. This Court has considered the submissions of learned counsel for the appellant.

4. The appellant does not dispute that his gross salary is Rs.1,31,508/- per month and his net salary is Rs.81,978/- per month. The respondent has only been awarded Rs.25,000/-. The affidavit of assets and



liabilities is filed to assess the financial position of the parties. Once the quantum of monthly income is not disputed, this Court does not find it appropriate to interfere.

5. Dismissed accordingly.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

July 04th, 2025
Ayub

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No