



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

263

CR-3915-2023

Decided on:08.01.2025

Suresh Kumar Yadav and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Seema Yadav

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Viren Jain, Advocate and
Ms. Tarranum Madan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sangeeta Yadav, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the impugned order dated 29.05.2023 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Gurugram.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has fairly submitted that since the main suit itself has been decided vide judgment dated 06.08.2024 passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Gurugram, thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.
3. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

08.01.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE