



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-19174-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.05.2025

Sukhjit Singh @ Sukha @ Gainda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for respondents No. 1,3, 5 to 9 and 12 to 16.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No. 2, 4, 10 to 18.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuance of directions upon the respondents to transfer the investigation of the FIRs, which were registered against the petitioner in the States of Punjab and Haryana, to the CBI. The following are the FIRs, which are sought to be investigated by the CBI :

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Particulars</i>
1	FIR No. 85 dated 16.06.2020, under Section 25 of Arms Act and Section 379-B, 34 IPC, Police Station Satnampura, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-5).
2	FIR No. 40 dated 16.06.2020, under Section 379-B IPC, Police Station Mukandpur, District SBS Nagar (Annexure P-3)
3	FIR No. 57 dated 16.06.2020 , under Section 392, 506 IPC and Section 25, 27 of Arms Act, 1959 (Annexure P-6)



<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Particulars</i>
4	FIR No.104 dated 17.06.2020, under Section 379-B, 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Goraya, District Jalandhar (Rural) (Annexure P-2)
5	FIR No. 44 dated 17.06.2020, under Section 394, 341, 307, 379-B (2), 323, 269, 270, 271, 34 IPC and Section 53, 54 of Disaster Management Act, 2005 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Garhdiwal, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1)
6	FIR No. 153 dated 08.06.2020, under Section 392 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Mullana, District Ambala (Annexure P-7)
7	FIR No. 130 dated 20.09.2020, under Section 379 IPC, Police Station Sector 20, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-8)

3. Reply by way of affidavit of Sarwan Singh Bal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural), on behalf of respondents No. 5 & 13, has been filed in Court today, and the same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The instant petition is pending since September, 2021, however, during the interregnum period much water has flown. The petitioner has not only faced the trial, but in some cases he has also earned acquittal, and in some cases he has been convicted. Therefore, the asked for prayer cannot be granted, at this stage, in view of the ensuing events. Consequently, the instant petition is **closed**, leaving the liberty upon the petitioner to take all other remedies available under law, if his grievance still survives.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

13.05.2025

Satyawan

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No