

CRWP-5700 of 2025

2025:PHHC:094742



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5700 of 2025

Date of decision: 29.07.2025

Manpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and also directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner and further directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow respondents No.4 to 6 or anybody else to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioner or to harass her in any manner against the wishes of the petitioner or to cause any detriment to her in any manner.

2. On receipt of advance copy of the paperbook, status report by way of affidavit of Butta Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division, Mansa, District Mansa, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed, wherein it has been stated as under: -

“3. That in compliance with the order dated 28.05.2025, passed by this Hon’ble Court, it is respectfully submitted that on receipt of copy of above mentioned

2025:PHHC:094742



order dated 28.05.2025, answering deponent had immediately called the pertinent record.

(i) Perusal of the related record revealed that on receiving the intimation regarding the filing of this writ petition by the petitioner before this Hon'ble High Court to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner from respondents No.4 to 6, an etymological and assiduous enquiry was conducted by Gurcharan Singh (775/Mansa) posted as ASI, PS Bhikhi and regarding this concurrence with the enquiry was also done by SHO PS Bhikhi. During the enquiry the petitioner and respondent No.4 to 6 were made to join the enquiry proceedings and their statements were respectively recorded before witnesses.

(ii) That during enquiry petitioner stated in her statement that petitioner got married with respondent No.4 twenty two years ago and four children were born out of their wedlock. That respondent No.4 and respondent No.5 are harassing the petitioner from a long time further respondent No.6 who is brother of petitioner in concurrence with respondent No.4 and 5 threatened the petitioner. The petitioner is now living with Rajinder Singh son of Mohkam Singh r/o Atla Khurd, with her own free will and consent as petitioner is the major and can live with anybody with her free will. The petitioner has further stated that she no longer apprehends any threat to her life or liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

(iii) That during enquiry respondent No.4 the husband of the petitioner has stated in his statement that on dated 12.05.2025 when respondent No.4 was out for work then on his returned, he found that petitioner was not at home. When respondent No.4 called up on the mobile phone of the petitioner then it was switched off. Then respondent No.4 along with respondent No.5 tried to

2025:PHHC:094742



desperately find the petitioner here and there on their own but were not able to find the petitioner anywhere. That they filed an application regarding this at Sadar Rampura PS. Respondent No.4 further alleged that he has neither any dispute with the petitioner nor he had assaulted the petitioner or threatened her. Even respondent No.4 alleged that "he does not know where the petitioner." Petitioner herself had left the house with her own free will.

(iv) That during enquiry respondent No.5 the brother in law of the petitioner and respondent No.6 brother of the petitioner have stated in their statement that on dated 12.05.2025 when the petitioner went missing from the home then they started searching her and called on her mobile phone which was found to be switched off. They filed the application regarding the missing of petitioner in PS Sadar Rampura. They further alleged that respondent No.5 and 6 have neither any dispute with the petitioner nor have they threatened the petitioner or beaten her. Petitioner had left the home with her own free will and even respondent No.4 and 5 do not know about the whereabouts of the petitioner.

(v) Further keeping in view the law and order situation the preventive action under section 126/169 BNSS has been initiated against the respondent no.4 to 6 as respondent no.4 to 6 did not come present at PS Bhikhi despite the fact they have been informed by the police official on the mobile number of Naib Singh several times regarding this DDR No.60 dated 03.07.2025, DDR No.39 dated 03.07.2025 and DDR No.20 dated 04.07.2025 have been entered at PS Bhikhi.

(vi) Thus in this way enquiry was completed and concluded that petitioner is now living with Rajinder Singh in live-in-relationship with her own free will and

2025:PHHC:094742



petitioner has stated that she does not have any threat to her life and liberty from respondent No.4 to 6.”

- 3. In view of the stand taken in the status report, nothing further survives in the present petition.
- 4. Disposed of accordingly.

29.07.2025
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No