



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CRM-M No. 31152-2025 (O&M)**Date of Decision: 09.07.2025**

Rahul Jindal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Rohit Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for calling of the status report of representation/complaint dated 26.07.2024 (P-7) filed by petitioner before official respondent No.3.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that representation was made on 26.07.2024 (P-7) but till now, no decision has been communicated to him. Also contends that petitioner was duped by Rashpal Singh Dhillon-respondent No.4 and Dharamjit Singh-respondent No.5, thus, necessary directions may be issued to official respondents to file status report and to decide the representation filed by him.

3. *Per contra*, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer submits that petitioner is facing two criminal cases i.e. FIR No.151 dated 29.10.2022 (P-1), under Sections 120-B, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Cantt, Bathinda and second FIR No. 0017 dated 14.02.2023 (P-4), under Sections 120-B, 342, 364A, 386 and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur. Also



submits that in first FIR No. 151 dated 29.10.2022 (*supra*), challan has already been presented against the petitioner as well as other co-accused, but charges are yet to be considered. However, in the second FIR No. 0017 dated 14.02.2023 (*supra*), investigation is still going on.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

5. There is no quarrel that as on today, petitioner is facing two criminal cases. In the first FIR, challan has already been present against him; thus, *prima facie*, offence against the petitioner is clearly made out. So far as second FIR is concerned, the investigation is still going on.

6. In view of the above, there is no ground to issue any mandate or directions to the officials respondents on the basis of representation dated 26.07.2024 (P-7).

7. Consequently, there is no option except to dismiss the present petition “at this stage”.

8. So ordered.

9. Needless to say that police shall proceed in the matter in accordance with law and submit a final report in this regard at the earliest.

10. It is further clarified that above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the allegations leveled in the aforesaid FIRs.

Pending application(s), if any shall also stands disposed off.

09.07.2025

Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No