



CWP-17613-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

243

CWP-17613-2020 (O & M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2025

Pardeep Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.K.Arya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to rectify the anomaly in the pay scale of the petitioner vis-a-vis the Clerk and the Photostat Machine Operator working in the establishment of the Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and grant the arrears of revised pay + conveyance allowance alongwith interest w.e.f. 01.12.2011.

2. The petitioner is working as Class-III employee on the post of Bradma Operator and the said post is equivalent to the cadre of Photostat Machine Operators, Clerks and Restorers and all these posts fall under Group-C Category.

3. This Court while disposing of CWP-7078-2021, **Jatinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, on 02.05.2024, had relied upon **Gurbir Singh and others vs. Punjab and Haryana High**



CWP-17613-2020

Court and another, CWP-488-2015, decided on 16.01.2015, wherein Restorers of this Court were allowed the revision of pay scales restoring the status quo ante as on 01.01.2006 and the monetary benefits including difference of arrears of pay accruing there from be calculated and paid to the petitioners and non-party holders within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order. Thereafter, this Court in the case of **Anuj Kumar Sharma and another vs. State of Punjab** and connected petitions, CWP-18466-2014, decided on 26.04.2017, which was preferred by the Restorers working in the Civil Secretariat, Punjab, disposed of in terms of the judgment referred in **Gurbir Singh (supra)**, the relevant para thereof reads thus:-

“11. Let this matter be considered by the Finance Department/or the Pay Anomaly Committee in its true perspective within a period of four months on receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to say in case the claim of the petitioners is being rejected, a speaking order be passed in this regard, which the petitioners would be at liberty to challenge. In case claim of the petitioners has merit, the monetary benefits including difference of arrears of pay accruing there from be calculated and paid to the petitioners within 2 months thereafter.”

4. Based on the aforesaid decision, this Court in **Kanta Kumari and others vs. State of Punjab and others** and connected petitions, CWP-4456-2016, decided on 24.05.2018, disposed of the case in terms of **Anuj Kumar Sharma (supra)**.

5. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to



CWP-17613-2020

the respondents to take a decision, keeping in view the aforesaid judgments, within a period of four months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

27.02.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No